Received: 09/17/2003

## 2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

#### Bill

Wanted: A	s time perm						Received By: mshovers			
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Rep. Underheim  Drafter: rkite						
For: Gregg Underheim (608) 266-2254  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO										
								May Contact:		
Subject: Nat. Res wet/shore/flood Munis - zoning Counties - zoning					Extra Copies:					
Submit via	a email: YES						,			
Requester'	's email:	Rep.Under	heim@legis	.state.wi.us						
Carbon co	py (CC:) to:									
Pre Topic	**	1								
No specifi	c pre topic gi	ven								
Topic:						<del>-</del>				
Require D	NR to approv	e mitigation of	wetlands in	areas under	a Smart Growth cor	nprehensive p	lan			
Instructio	ons:									
See Attach	ed				•					
Drafting I	History:	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1								
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required			
/?							S&L			
/1	rkite 12/10/2003	kgilfoy 12/16/2003	rschluet 12/17/2003	3	sbasford 12/17/2003 mbarman 12/23/2003	lemery 01/22/2004				

Required

01/22/2004 01:03:51 РМ , Раде 2

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u>

FE Sent For:

### 2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

#### Bill

Received: 09/17/2003					Received By: mshovers				
Wanted: As time permits  For: Gregg Underheim (608) 266-2254  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Identical to LRB:				
					By/Representing	By/Representing: Rep. Underheim			
					Drafter: rkite				
May Co	ntact:		Addl. Drafters: mshovers						
Subject: Nat. Res wet/shore/flood Munis - zoning Counties - zoning				Extra Copies:					
Submit	via email: <b>YES</b>								
Request	er's email:	Rep.Under	rheim@legi	s.state.wi.us					
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre Top	oic:								
No spec	ific pre topic gi	iven							
Topic:			<del>.</del>		······································				
Require	DNR to approv	ve mitigation of	f wetlands ir	n areas under	a Smart Growth co	omprehensive	plan		
Instruc	tions:								
See Atta	ched								
 Draftin	g History:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					11		
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?							S&L		
/1	rkite 12/10/2003	kgilfoy 12/16/2003	rschluet 12/17/200		sbasford 12/17/2003				

12/23/2003 08:08:04 AM Page 2

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

FE Sent For:

### 2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

#### Bill

Received: 09/17/2003					Received By: mshovers  Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Rep. Underheim				
Wanted: As time permits									
For: Gregg Underheim (608) 266-2254  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO									
					Drafter: rkite				
May Co	ontact:				Addl. Drafters: mshovers				
Subject: Nat. Res wet/shore/flood Munis - zoning Counties - zoning					Extra Copies:				
Submit	via email: <b>YES</b>			. •					
Request	er's email:	Rep.Unde	rheim@legis	s.state.wi.us					
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre To	pic:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
No spec	ific pre topic gi	ven							
Topic:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Require	DNR to approv	ve mitigation of	f wetlands in	areas under	a Smart Growth co	mprehensive	plan		
Instruc	tions:					-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
See Atta	ched								
	g History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?							S&L		
/1	rkite 12/10/2003	kgilfoy 12/16/2003	rschluet 12/17/200	3	sbasford 12/17/2003				

12/17/2003 08:25:23 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

#### 2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **09/17/2003** 

Received By: mshovers

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Gregg Underheim (608) 266-2254

By/Representing: Rep. Underheim

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: rkite

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

mshovers

Subject:

Nat. Res. - wet/shore/flood

Munis - zoning Counties - zoning Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Underheim@legis.state.wi.us

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

**Pre Topic:** 

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Require DNR to approve mitigation of wetlands in areas under a Smart Growth comprehensive plan

**Instructions:** 

See Attached

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Typed Pr

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

rkite

FE Sent For:

clarify reality to mitigato
clarify the ability to mitigate wetland in Smarl Grown
Low level WCs
dissiplified alter 100Ks may 41
discovered after 100Ks spents
Tord du Lac approved tes devel
Alg DNR to approve wellands
micgation
in areas plated fordevely
devel is consistent w/ a smart
Sworth Comp, plan
1, /, 7
(reg Anderheim a/17/05
U Y VIVIO VI IVI
11 915 - "nant - 1 TIN
16.965 - "Awart growth"
see: 281.165 - add exemption of
see: 281.165 - add exemption of "low level" wetlands in "smart growth"
areas



1

State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

ZMR 1

LRB-3293/9

RNK:1.:... YM **g** 

D-Note

PRELIMINARY DRAFF - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

Am. Cat.

AN ACT /..; relating to: activities exempt from water quality standards that are

applicable to wetlands and that are exempt from certain other approvals, fees,

notices, hearings, procedures, and penalties

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the department of Natural resources (DNR) has promulgated rules that establish water quality standards for wetlands. Current law provides that activities that meet certain conditions are exempt from these rules if the activities meet certain criteria. The activities are also exempt from various other requirements, licenses, and procedures existing under current law including those related to navigable waters, dams and bridges, pollution discharge elimination, and solid waste facilities. The activities that are exempt under current law are located at two separate sites in the state. The criteria for the activity at one of the sites include requirements that the wetland area that will be affected be less than 15 acres, that the site of the activity be in a city in Trempealeau County and that the city adopt a resolution stating that the exemption is necessary to protect jobs or promote the creation of jobs in the city. The criteria for the activity at the other site include requirements that the wetland area that will be affected be less than acres, that the site of the activity be in Dunn County and that the site of the activity be zoned for technology park use.

Under the current law popularly known as the "Smart Growth" statute, if a local governmental unit (city, village, town, county, or regional planning commission) creates a comprehensive plan (a development plan or a master plan) or amends an existing comprehensive plan, the plan must contain certain planning elements.

Four Fand two-tenths

Stet)

1

2

 $\binom{3}{3}$ 

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

Beginning on January 1, 2010, under Smart Growth, any program or action of a local governmental unit that affects land use must be consistent with that local governmental unit's comprehensive plan. Also beginning on January 1, 2010, under Smart Growth, if a local governmental unit engages in any program or action that affects land use, the comprehensive plan must contain at least all of the required planning elements.

This bill creates a new wetlands compliance exemption. Under the bill, an activity is entitled to the exemption if it is located in a city, village, town, or county that has adopted a comprehensive plan under Smart Growth, if the wetland area that will be affected by the activity has negligible functional values, and if the activity incorporates a plan to create at least 1.5 acres of wetland for each acre of wetland affected by the activity.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

## The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 59.692 (6m) of the statutes is amended to read:

59.692 (6m) For an amendment to an ordinance enacted under this section that affects an activity that meets all of the requirements under s. 281.165 (2) er, (3) (a), or (4), the department may not proceed under sub. (6) or (7) (b) or (c), or otherwise review the amendment, to determine whether the ordinance, as amended, fails to meet the shoreland zoning standards.

History: 1979 c. 233; 1981 c. 330; 1983 a. 189 s. 329 (23); 1991 a. 39; 1993 a. 329; 1995 a. 201 s. 476; Stats. 1995 s. 59.692; 1995 a. 227; 1997 a. 27, 35, 252; 1999 a. 9; SECTION 2. 61.351 (6m) of the statutes is created to read:

61.351 (6m) CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO ORDINANCES. For an amendment to an ordinance enacted under this section that affects an activity that meets all of the requirements under s. 281.165 (4), the department of natural resources may not proceed under sub. (6), or otherwise review the amendment, to determine whether the ordinance, as amended, fails to meet reasonable minimum standards.

**SECTION 3.** 62.231 (6m) of the statutes is amended to read:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(20)

22

23

1	62.231 (6m) Certain amendments to ordinances. For an amendment to an
2	ordinance enacted under this section that affects an activity that meets all of the
3	requirements under s. 281.165 (2) or (3) (a), or (4), the department of natural
4	resources may not proceed under sub. (6), or otherwise review the amendment, to
5	determine whether the ordinance, as amended, fails to meet reasonable minimum
6	standards.

History: 1981 c. 330, 391; 1995 a. 201; 1995 a. 227; 1999 a. 9.

SECTION 4. 87.30 (1) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

87.30 (1) (d) For an amendment to a floodplain zoning ordinance that affects an activity that meets all of the requirements under s. 281.165 (2) or, (3) (a), or (4), the department may not proceed under this subsection, or otherwise review the amendment, to determine whether the ordinance, as amended, is insufficient.

**History:** 1971 c. 164; 1975 c. 232, 301, 422; 1977 c. 29 s. 1654 (8) (c); 1977 c. 437, 447; 1979 c. 34 s. 2102 (58) (b); 1981 c. 339; 1985 a. 182; 1995 a. 201. 311. 455; 1999 9. **SECTION 5.** 281.165 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

with the water quality standards that are applicable to wetlands and that are promulgated as rules under s. 281.15 and is exempt from any prohibition, restriction, requirement, permit, license, approval, authorization, fee, notice, hearing, procedure or penalty specified under s. 29.601 (3) or chs. 30, 31, 281, 283, 289 to 292 or 299 or specified under any rule promulgated, order issued or ordinance adopted under any of those sections or chapters, if the activity meets all of the requirements under either sub. (2) or (3), or (4).

21 **Section 6.** 281.165 (4) of the statutes is created to read:

281.165 (4) ACTIVITIES COVERED BY COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING. Subsection (1) applies to an activity that meets all of the following requirements:

#### SECTION 6

1	(a) The wetland area that will be affected by the activity has negligible
2	functional values.
3	(b) The site of the activity is located in a city, village, town, or county that has
4	adopted a comprehensive plan, as defined in s. $66.901(1)$ (a).
5	(c) The activity incorporates a plan by the person engaging in the activity to
6	create at least 1.5 acres of wetland for each acre of wetland affected by the activity.
7	(END)

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-3293/30 RNK: 1.7...

Please review this draft closely to ensure that it is consistent with your intent.

You had requested that the exemption created in this draft apply to "low level" wetlands. I was unable to find any reference to such wetlands and, instead, made the exemption apply to wetlands with "negligible functional values". This is a term that currently appears in the statutes. Also, I included a requirement that the activity incorporate a plan to create at least 1.5 acres of wetland for each acre of wetland affected by the activity. Finally, I have assumed that you intended the exemption to apply for activities conducted in cities, counties, towns, and villages. If these provisions are not consistent with your intent, please let me know and I will redraft, accordingly.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions concerning this draft.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-3293/1dn RNK:kmg:rs

December 17, 2003

Please review this draft closely to ensure that it is consistent with your intent.

You had requested that the exemption created in this draft apply to "low level" wetlands. I was unable to find any reference to such wetlands and, instead, made the exemption apply to wetlands with "negligible functional values." This is a term that currently appears in the statutes. Also, I included a requirement that the activity incorporate a plan to create at least 1.5 acres of wetland for each acre of wetland affected by the activity. Finally, I have assumed that you intended the exemption to apply for activities conducted in cities, counties, towns, and villages. If these provisions are not consistent with your intent, please let me know and I will redraft accordingly.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions concerning this draft.

Robin N. Kite Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–7291

E-mail: robin.kite@legis.state.wi.us

#### **Emery, Lynn**

From:

Hough, Michelle

Sent:

Thursday, January 22, 2004 12:58 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft review: LRB 03-3293/1 Topic: Require DNR to approve mitigation of wetlands in areas

under a Smart Growth comprehensive plan

It has been requested by <Hough, Michelle> that the following draft be jacketed for the ASSEMBLY:

Draft review: LRB 03-3293/1 Topic: Require DNR to approve mitigation of wetlands in areas under a Smart Growth comprehensive plan